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## What defines East Contra Costa County?





## Roadmap for Presentation

- Frameworks for action-oriented analysis
- Data and charts
- Maps
- Toolkit - resources, suggestions for self-analysis, frameworks
- U.S. Census Data Dissemination Specialist resources
- Discussion + next steps


## What is CSI?

Catalyst for systems change, with core values of resilience, inclusion, sustainability, equity (RISE)

More fully realize region's potential in civic activities, philanthropic investments, nonprofit sector

## Frameworks for Action

## Framework on Civic Infrastructure

## GOVERNMENT AGENCY COORDINATION

COMMUNITY MEDIA

EDUCATION (K-12, HIGHER ED)

CIVIL SOCIETY (FAITH, PHILANTHROPY, NON-PROFIT, FOR-PROFIT)
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## Ready to RISE Framework for Projects and Programs



## Ready to RISE Framework, Indicators Can Help

| Concept | Definition | Indicators |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Readiness | Measurement of a community's workforce and <br> infrastructural readiness, with respect to receiving new <br> investments and completing projects in a timely manner. | Capacity and availability of workforce and supportive <br> infrastructure |
| Resilience | The interconnected nature of system assets and <br> processes, and how they can absorb, recover from, and <br> continue, after some sort of a shock. | Flexibility, adaptability, agility, self-sufficiency, agency |
| Inclusion | The extent that communities are recognized and <br> meaningfully included in decisions, plans, programs, <br> and projects. | Breadth, depth, and stage of inclusion |
| Sustainability | The conditions that promote individual and community <br> health and well-being, through improvements in <br> environment, quality of life, and economic stability. | Improvements in environment (natural and built), quality <br> of life, wages and benefits, consistency and diversity of <br> funding |
| Equity | Extent to which investments, policies, and practices <br> intentionally focus on improving outcomes among <br> historically marginalized populations, and whether <br> outcomes actually improve for those populations. | Inclusion of the following categories in the <br> design/intentional action as well as measurable <br> outcomes: geography, race, gender, sexual orientation, <br> immigrant status, disability status, youth status, and <br> other social categories meaningful to the project or |
| program context |  |  |

## What's Your DNA?

## Data and insights <br> (Internal or External)

Narrative change
(Framing, storytelling, messaging)

## Action

(Advocacy, Civic Engagement, Funding)

## Need each component to make work more

 Credible (Data)Meaningful and memorable (Narrative) Impactful through collective, strategic work (Action)

## Data \& Charts

Note: the data tables and charts included here (and the categories for analysis in the accompanying toolkit) are reflective of the categories of variables and topics identified from the input received from the listening session held in November 2021

## How we define East Contra Costa County



For the purposes of this data analysis, East Contra Costa County data was pulled to include: Antioch-Pittsburg Census County Division (CCD) and East Contra Costa Census County Division (CCD). Data on other levels of geography can be accessed through various sources that are either referenced in this slide deck or referenced in the toolkit created for ECCC

## Population - Totals



Note: AIAN = American Indian/Alaska Native, NHPI = Native Hawaiian Other Pacific Islander, "White, NH" = White non-Hispanic

## Population - Percentage (\%)



Note: AIAN = American Indian/Alaska Native, NHPI = Native Hawaiian Other Pacific Islander, "White, NH" = White non-Hispanic

## Median Age



## Median Age

|  | Antioch | Bay Point | Pittsburg | Oakley | Knightsen | Brentwood | Discovery Bay | Byron | Contra <br> Costa <br> County | California |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median Age | 36 | 32.4 | 34.7 | 33.5 | 50.2 | 40 | 41.7 | 40.7 | 39.7 | 36.5 |

## Housing

## Households w/ Mortgage and Housing Cost Burden in ECCC



## Households w/ Mortgage and Housing Cost Burden in ECCC - Table

| Antioch | Bay Point | Pittsburg | Oakley | Knightsen | Brentwood | Discovery Bay | Byron | Contra <br> Costa <br> County | California |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39.5 | 45.1 | 37.7 | 32.8 | 39.5 | 40.7 | 32.4 | 41.6 | 35.4 | 38.2 |

## Renters with a Housing Cost Burden in ECCC



## Source: US Census Narrative Profile

## Renters with a Housing Cost Burden in ECCC - Table

| Antioch | Bay Point | Pittsburg | Oakley | Knightsen | Brentwood | Discovery Bay | Byron | Contra <br> Costa <br> County | California |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61.1 | 51.7 | 61.4 | 48.3 | 27.7 | 56.6 | 42.4 | 54 | 52.3 | 54.8 |

## Disparities in homeownership rates



Source: Calculations from 2019 ACS 5-year file, data.census.gov

## Disparities in homeownership rates - Tables

|   <br> HOMEOWNERSHIP RATES  <br> East CCC  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Contra Costa County |  |  |
| Black | $42 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| AIAN | $74 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| Asian | $80 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| NHPI | $58 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| Other races | $48 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| Two or more <br> races | $53 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| White, NH | $78 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $56 \%$ | $50 \%$ |


| OWNERS (share of baseline vs. Outcome) <br> CCC <br> Baseline |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owners |  |  |  |  |  | | East CCC |
| :---: |
| Baseline | | East CCC |
| :---: |
| Owners |$|$| Black | $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AIAN | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Asian | $14 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| NHPI | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Other <br> races | $7 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Two or <br> more races | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| White, NH | $49 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $17 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $22 \%$ |

Note: AIAN = American Indian/Alaska Native, NHPI = Native Hawaiian Other Pacific Islander, "White, NH" = White non-Hispanic

Education

## Disparities in 4+ college attainment



Note: AIAN = American Indian/Alaska Native, NHPI = Native Hawaiian Other Pacific Islander, "White, NH" = White non-Hispanic

## Disparities in 4+ college attainment - Tables

| BA rates | East CCC | Contra Costa County |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Black | $23 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| AIAN | $12 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Asian | $55 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| NHPI | $16 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Other races | $8 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Two or more <br> races | $24 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| White, NH | $31 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $12 \%$ | $17 \%$ |


| Bachelor's Degrees (share of baseline vs. Outcome) <br> CCC <br> Baseline |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCC 4yr+ | East CCC <br> Baseline | East CCC <br> 4yr+ |  |  |
| Black | $8 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| AIAN | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Asian | $16 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| NHPI | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Other races | $8 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Two or more <br> races | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| White, NH | $44 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $19 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $11 \%$ |

Note: AIAN = American Indian/Alaska Native, NHPI = Native Hawailan Other Pacific Islander, "White, NH" = White non-Hispanic

Poverty

## Poverty by Geography (\%)



| Poverty | Antioch | Bay Point | Pittsburg | Oakley | Knightsen | Brentwood | Discovery Bay | Byron | Contra Costa <br> County | California |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Poverty - Child } \\ & (<18) \end{aligned}$ | 21.3 | 20.7 | 16.8 | 8.1 | 14.5 | 8.2 | 12.8 | 33.9 | 10.9 | 18.1 |
| Poverty - 18-64 | 12.2 | 14.3 | 11.8 | 7.7 | 9.2 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 17.2 | 8.5 | 12.3 |
| Poverty - Senior $(65+)$ | 8 | 7.6 | 10.9 | 4.9 | 7 | 5 | 2.1 | 2 | 6.4 | 10.2 |

## Income by Geography



## Income: Below \$10K/yr, Above \$200K/yr

|  | Antioch | Bay Point | Pittsburg | Oakley | Knightsen | Brentwood | Discovery Bay | Byron | Contra Costa County | California |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Below \$10K/Year | 4.4 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 7.7 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 4.8 |
| Income Above \$200K/Year | 7.5 | 4.8 | 7.8 | 12.4 | 17.4 | 17.7 | 22.6 | 16.2 | 19.4 | 12.2 |

## Disparities in Poverty



Note: AIAN = American Indian/Alaska Native, NHPI = Native Hawaiian Other Pacific Islander, "White, NH" = White non-Hispanic

## Disparities in Poverty

| OVERALL POVERTY | East CCC | Contra Costa County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | 19\% | 16\% |
| AIAN | 8\% | 8\% |
| Asian | 6\% | 6\% |
| NHPI | 2\% | 5\% |
| Other races | 16\% | 16\% |
| Two or more races | 13\% | 11\% |
| White, NH | 7\% | 5\% |
| Hispanic | 14\% | 13\% |


| OVERALL POVERTY (share of baseline vs. Outcome) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CCC <br> Baseline | CCC <br> Poverty | East CCC Baseline | East CCC Poverty |
| Black | 8\% | 13\% | 11\% | 18\% |
| AIAN | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Asian | 15\% | 10\% | 13\% | 7\% |
| NHPI | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Other races | 10\% | 17\% | 12\% | 18\% |
| Two or more races | 6\% | 7\% | 7\% | 8\% |
| White, NH | 39\% | 22\% | 29\% | 18\% |
| Hispanic | 23\% | 31\% | 27\% | 32\% |

Note: AIAN = American Indian/Alaska Native, NHPI = Native Hawaiian Other Pacific Islander, "White, NH" = White non-Hispanic

## Disparities in Child Poverty



Note: AIAN = American Indian/Alaska Native, NHPI = Native Hawaiian Other Pacific Islander, "White, NH" = White non-Hispanic

## Disparities in Child Poverty - Tables

|  | East CCC | Contra Costa County |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | $26 \%$ | $24 \%$ |  |
| AIAN | $4 \%$ | $7 \%$ |  |
| Asian | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ |  |
| NHPI | $4 \%$ | $8 \%$ |  |
| Other races | $22 \%$ | $22 \%$ |  |
| Two or more <br> races | $14 \%$ | $11 \%$ |  |
| White, NH | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ |  |
| Hispanic | $18 \%$ | $17 \%$ |  |


| CCC <br> Baseline |  | CCC <br> Poverty | East CCC <br> Baseline | East CCC <br> Poverty |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | $7 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| AIAN | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Asian | $12 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| NHPI | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Other races | $12 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Two or more <br> races | $11 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| White, NH | $28 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $29 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $37 \%$ |

Note: AIAN = American Indian/Alaska Native, NHPI = Native Hawaiian Other Pacific Islander, "White, NH" = White non-Hispanic

## Disparities in Senior Poverty



Note: AIAN = American Indian/Alaska Native, NHPI = Native Hawaiian Other Pacific Islander, "White, NH" = White non-Hispanic

## Disparities in Senior Poverty - Tables

|  | East CCC | Contra Costa County |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Black | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| AIAN | $9 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Asian | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| NHPI | $0 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Other races | $13 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Two or more <br> races | $10 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| White, NH | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $10 \%$ | $11 \%$ |


|  | CCC |  | East CCC | East CCC <br>  <br>  <br> Baseline |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Black | $7 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| AIAN | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Asian | $15 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| NHPI | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Other races | $3 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Two or more <br> races | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| White, NH | $61 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $11 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $23 \%$ |

## Criminal Justice

## Use of Force Rate per 100,000

$\square$ Contra Costa County $\square$ California State $\square$ Sacramento County $\square$ San Joaquin County


## Use of Force Rate per 100,000 - Definition

DATA SOURCE: OPEN JUSTICE DATA, CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (2016-2019); AMERICAN COMMUNITY SURVEY 5-YEAR ESTIMATES, TABLE DP05 (2015-2019)

RACECOUNTS DEFINES USE OF FORCE AS:
USE OF FORCE INCIDENTS INCLUDED ARE THOSE INVOLVING THE DISCHARGE OF A FIREARM, SERIOUS BODILY INJURY, OR DEATH. ANNUAL AVERAGE RATE CALCULATED USING DATA FROM 2016 THROUGH 2019. NUMBER OF PEOPLE INJURED IS THE FOUR YEAR TOTAL. ADVANCEMENT PROJECT CALIFORNIA SCREENED ESTIMATES FOR GROUPS WITH FEWER THAN 100 PEOPLE. ESTIMATES FOR OTHER AND MULTIRACIAL POPULATIONS NOT INCLUDED BECAUSE OF MISMATCHES IN INCIDENT AND POPULATION RACIAL DEFINITIONS.

## Disparities in Crime \& Justice - Table

| Use of Force Rate per 100,000 | Contra Costa County | California State | Sacramento County | San Joaquin County | Solano County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | 6.8 | 5.1 | 3.1 | 4.5 | 5.1 |
| Latino | 2.6 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 3.2 |
| White | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.5 |
| Asian | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1 | 0 |

## Incarceration Per 100,000

$\square$ Contra Costa County $\square$ California State $\square$ Sacramento County $\square$ San Joaquin County


## Incarceration Rate per 100,000 - Definition

DATA SOURCE: VERA INSTITUTE OF JUSTICE (2018)

RACECOUNTS DEFINES THE INCARCERATION RATE AS:
THE TOTAL INSTITUTIONAL POPULATION IN JAILS IS COMPUTED USING THE ANNUAL SURVEY OF JAILS AND CENSUS OF JAILS DATA, AND INCLUDES PERSONS HELD UNDER FEDERAL AND OTHER AUTHORITIES. TOTAL JAIL POPULATION IS DEFINED AS THE AVERAGE DAILY NUMBER OF PEOPLE HELD IN JAIL THROUGH DECEMBER 31 OF A GIVEN YEAR. DISAGGREGATED COUNTS BY RACE ARE REPORTED AS A SINGLE DAY COUNT AT THE END OF JUNE. WE USED THE TOTAL NUMBER OF ADULTS INCARCERATED AND THEN EXPRESSED THAT AS A RATE PER 100,000 PERSONS AGED 15-64 IN THE COUNTY OR STATE

## Disparities in Crime \& Justice - Table

| Incarceration Per <br> Contra Costa <br> County | Callifornia State | Sacramento <br> County | San Joaquin <br> County | Solano County |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | 104.7 | 225 | 281.2 | 184.2 | 204.2 |
| Latino | 208.7 | 322.2 | 315.9 | 233.9 | 192.3 |
| Black | 565.9 | 920.4 | 1086.1 | 1155.6 | 634.3 |
| AAPI | 6.6 | 47.2 | 99.1 | 19.1 | 0 |

## Community Health

## Preventable Hospitalizations per 100,000 People

$\square$ Contra Costa County $\square$ California State $\square$ Sacramento County $\square$ San Joaquin County


## Preventable Hospitalizations - Definition

THE DATA IS FROM THE OFFICE OF STATEWIDE HEALTH PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT (2017-2019)

RACECOUNTS DEFINES PREVENTABLE HOSPITALIZATIONS AS THE CHRONIC COMPOSITE OF PREVENTABLE HOSPITALIZATIONS (PREVENTION QUALITY INDICATOR \#92) INCLUDES DIABETES SHORT- AND LONG-TERM COMPLICATIONS, CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISEASE (COPD), ASTHMA IN YOUNGER OR OLDER ADULTS, HYPERTENSION, HEART FAILURE, UNCONTROLLED DIABETES, AND LOWER-EXTREMITY AMPUTATIONS AMONG PATIENTS WITH DIABETES. DATA ARE EXCLUDED FOR RACIAL GROUPS WITH FEWER THAN 11 HOSPITAL DISCHARGES TO PROTECT PRIVACY.

| Preventable <br> Hospitalizations <br> per 100,000 <br> People | Contra Costa <br> County | Sacramento <br> Countlifornia State |  |  |  |  |  | San Joaquin <br> County | Solano County |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | 1741 | 1719 | 1930 | 1977 | 1266 |  |  |  |  |
| White | 718 | 775 | 937 | 1129 | 751 |  |  |  |  |
| Native American | 710 | 713 | 709 | 908 | 518 |  |  |  |  |
| Latino | 453 | 546 | 506 | 542 | 371 |  |  |  |  |
| Asian American/ <br> Pacific Islander | 364 | 361 | 485 | 451 | 511 |  |  |  |  |

Source: OFFICE OF STATEWIDE HEALTH PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT (2017-2019)

## Lacking Private Health Insurance



Source: Calculations from 2019 ACS 5-year file, data.census.gov
Note: AIAN = American Indian/Alaska Native, NHPI = Native Hawaiian Other Pacific Islander, "White, NH" = White non-Hispanic

## Lacking Private Health Insurance

| PROPORTION W/O PRIVATE <br> INSURANCE |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | East CCC <br> Contra <br> Costa <br> County |
| Black | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| AIAN | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Asian | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| NHPI | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Other races | $12 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Two or more <br> races | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| White, NH | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $9 \%$ | $11 \%$ |


| LACKING PRIVATE INSURANCE (share of baseline vs. Outcome) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CCC | CCC No | East CCC | East CCC |
|  | Baseline | Ins | Baseline | No Ins |
| Black | 8\% | 6\% | 11\% | 7\% |
| AIAN | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Asian | 15\% | 10\% | 13\% | 8\% |
| NHPI | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Other races | 10\% | 23\% | 13\% | 25\% |
| Two or more races | 6\% | 4\% | 7\% | 5\% |
| White, NH | 39\% | 17\% | 29\% | 13\% |
| Hispanic | 23\% | 40\% | 27\% | 40\% |

## Civic Engagement, Representation, Community Power

## Rate of Representation

Rate of Elected Officials to Constituents per 100,000 Residents
$\square$ Contra Costa County $\square$ California State $\square$ Sacramento County $\square$ San Joaquin County


## Rate of Representation/Diversity of Elected Officials - Definition

DATA SOURCE: WHO LEADS US CAMPAIGN (2017, 2019); AMERICAN COMMUNITY SURVEY 5-YEAR ESTIMATES, TABLE DP05 (2014-2018)

THE RATE OF REPRESENTATION IS DEFINED AS:
AVERAGE NUMBER OF ELECTED OFFICIALS OF A RACE PER 100,000 CONSTITUENTS OF THAT SAME RACE OVER A TWO-YEAR PERIOD. ADVANCEMENT PROJECT CALIFORNIA INCLUDED ELECTED OFFICIALS AT ALL GOVERNMENT LEVELS IN OUR CALCULATIONS. WE CALCULATED RATES OF ELECTEDS' PER 100,000 CONSTITUENTS BY RACE USING AMERICAN COMMUNITY SURVEY DATA.

## Diversity of Elected Officials

| Rate of Elected Officials to Constituents per 100,000 Residents | Contra Costa County | California State | Sacramento County | San Joaquin County | Solano County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | 3.6 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.8 |
| Black | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 3 | 0 |
| Latino | 0 | 1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 2.6 |
| Native American | 0 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Asian <br> American/Pacific Islander | 0 | 1 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 |

## Rate of Representation (Candidates)

Candidates of a Race per 100,000 People of that Race
$\square$ Contra Costa CountyCalifornia State $\square$ Sacramento CountySan Joaquin County

- Solano County


## Rate of Representation (Candidates) Definition

DATA SOURCE: WHO LEADS US CAMPAIGN (2012, 2014, 2016, 2018); AMERICAN COMMUNITY SURVEY 5-YEAR ESTIMATES, TABLE DP05 (2013-2017)

THE RATE OF REPRESENTATION IS DEFINED AS:
AVERAGE NUMBER OF CANDIDATES FOR ELECTED OFFICE OF A RACE PER 100,000 CONSTITUENTS OF THAT SAME RACE OVER A SEVEN-YEAR PERIOD. ADVANCEMENT PROJECT CALIFORNIA SELECTED CANDIDATES FOR OFFICE AT THE STATE AND FEDERAL LEVEL FOR CALIFORNIA. WE CALCULATED RATES OF CANDIDATES' PER 100,000 CONSTITUENTS BY RACE USING AMERICAN COMMUNITY SURVEY DATA.

## Diversity of Candidates

| Candidates of a |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race per 100,000 People of that Race | Contra <br> Costa <br> County | California State | Sacramento County | San Joaquin County | Solano County |
| White | 7.9 | 1.2 | 5.4 | 9 | 17.1 |
| Black | 0 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 0 |
| Latino | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 3.4 | 3.1 |
| Native American | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Asian <br> American/Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.4 | 5.2 | 3.2 | 2.2 |

Source: WHO LEADS US CAMPAIGN (2012, 2014, 2016, 2018); AMERICAN COMMUNITY SURVEY 5-YEAR ESTIMATES, TABLE DP05 (2013-2017)

# Diversity of Paycheck Protection Program loans - cases \& amount 

Share of Loan Cases \& Amount by Borrower's Race in ECCCAmount Cases



## Diversity of Paycheck Protection Program loans - Table

|  | Asian |  |  |  | AIAN | Black |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Awards | 4.3 | 0.3 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 78.7 | 12.2 | 3.9 |  |
| Applications | 4.5 | 0.4 | 12 | 0.6 | 74.8 | 7.8 | 7613 |  |

## Commuting Patterns



## Hoover Index of Racial Disparity

$\square$ CCC $\square$ ECCC


Note: the Hoover Index is one way to measure income inequality, and is used here to compare disparities across races for education, homeownership, health insurance, and poverty
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Source: 2020 Census Demographic Data Map Viewer: https://www.census.gov/library/visualizations/2021/geo/demographicmapviewer.html

## State (or state equivalent)
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Source: 2020 Census Demographic Data Map Viewer: https://www.census.gov/library/visualizations/2021/geo/demographicmapviewer.htm/

## State (or state equivalent)

 boundary

Source: 2020 Census Demographic Data Map Viewer: https://www.census.gov/library/visualizations/2021/geo/demographicmapviewer.htm/

Child Poverty


## Limited English Proficient



Source: Data.Census.Gov map

Proportion Nonwhite


## Less than High School Degree



## Single Parent Household



Source: CDC Vulnerability Map via ArcGIS

ACS Context for Child Well-Being - Boundaries Tract

## -

Percent of Children (under 18 years) whose income in the past 12 months is below poverty level
> 37\%

18\% - national figure
< 1\%
No Value


Census Tracts - English Language Proficiency: Percent of Households with Limited English Proficiency

Census Tracts - English
Language Proficiency: Percent of Households with Limited English Proficiency

Census Tracts - English Language Proficiency: Percent of Households with Limited English Proficiency

| $\square$ | $0-0.88 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | $0.89-3.20 \%$ |
| $\square$ | $3.21-6.91 \%$ |
| $\square$ | $6.92-12.88 \%$ |
| $12.89-22.78 \%$ |  |
|  | $22.78-48.48 \%$ |
| 4 | $48.49-100 \%$ |



ACS Race and Hispanic
Origin Variables Boundaries - Tract

Predominant categoryNon-Hispanic White
Population
Hispanic or Latino
Population
Black or African
American PopulationAsian Population
American Indian and
Alaska Native
Population
Two or More Races
Population
Native Hawaiian and
Other Pacific Islander
Population
Some Other Race Population

Strength of predominance

Percent 25 Years And Over With Less Than A High School Degree

Percent 25 Years And Over With Less Than A High School Degree

0-6\%
7-12\%
-
13-21\%
22-100\%
Source: EnviroAtlas EPA


CDC Social Vulnerability
Index 2018 - USA -
Household
Composition/Disability
Theme - Tracts
$\nabla$
E_SNGPNT

- $>263$

146
$<31$

Note: E_SNGPNT = "Estimate: Single Parent HH"


## Toolkit

## Data for Narrative and Action towards Equity

## Toolkit

National Equity Atlas
RaceCounts
IPUMS ACS
IPUMS CPS
Measure of America
CDC Vulnerability Index
CalEnviroScreen 4.0
California Health Interview Survey
California Housing Partnership
data.census.gov
OnTheMap
Data Dissemination Specialists

DNA Framework
Ready to RISE Framework
Civic Infrastructure Framework

Direct download and online analysis

## Toolkit - Online Analysis Options




CONTRA COSTA
contra costaranks the 2דth mostracialyyilsparatecounty CALFORNIA

## CountyOverview



## Toolkit - Suggestions for Analysis

| Sample Topic | Sample Variables | Sample Census and Other Publicly Available Data Sources | Sample Online Tools | Sample Analysis Questions | Suggestions for Narrative and Action around Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Racial Equity | Race <br> - race x gender <br> - race x income <br> - race $x$ housing <br> Poverty <br> Density of jobs <br> Commute Patterns | Census <br> ACS 1-year estimates, Table S0201 <br> Parent Household Income <br> Commuting <br> Characteristics, ACS <br> 1-year, Table S0801 <br> Home Based Workers: <br> SIPP \& Household Pulse <br> Survey (COVID-19) | Census Narrative Profile <br> OnTheMap: <br> Distance/Direction <br> Analysis <br> OpportunityAtlas | What has the racial change been over time? <br> What has the income/poverty rate been over time and how has it changed? <br> What were the rates $10,20,30$ years ago? <br> How do they compare to the present? <br> What are the child outcomes in adulthood? <br> How do both relate to child outcomes in adulthood? | Leverage changes in demographics to push investment decisions <br> Understand how programming can be more targeted to respond to changing demographics and inequalities <br> Consider how programmatic and policy information is disseminated, how it can be done more effectively and equitably <br> Spatially consider where investments have and have not been made |
|  |  | Other <br> Discipline (State of California Department of Education) <br> FRPM (State of California Department of Education) | Other <br> EnviroAtlas (EPA) |  |  |

## Toolkit - Suggestions for Analysis

| Income Equality | Income <br> - race <br> - gender <br> Poverty <br> - race <br> - gender <br> Commute times | Census <br> Income in the Past 12 months (S1901) <br> Poverty Status in the Past 12 months (S1701) <br> Commuting <br> Characteristics, ACS 1-year, Table S0801 <br> Other <br> Living Wage <br> Calculator (MIT) <br> Labor Force <br> Statistics: Earnings (BLS) | Census <br> Narrative Profile <br> OnTheMap <br> Small Area Income <br> and Poverty <br> Estimates <br> Small Area Health Insurance Estimates | How have the poverty rates changed over time? <br> How does this relate to changes in income brackets over time? <br> What were the rates 10 (or more) years ago? <br> How do they compare to the present? <br> What are the commute times for various demographics? | Policy advocacy (e.g., benefits, wages, stability, seasonal) <br> Understanding and changing narrative around workforce development |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Thank you!

